

# Heterogeneous Integration Roadmap



**Doug Hopkins** 

North Carolina State University

DCHopkins@ncsu.edu

# Integrated Power Electronics (IPE) Technical Working Group: Chapter 10

Presenter: Francesco Carobolante



Patrick McCluskey University of Maryland <u>mcclupa@umd.edu</u>

This presentation contains only information that has previously been published in the open literature as the IEEE EPS Heterogeneous Integration Roadmap available at: https://eps.ieee.org/technology/heterogeneous-integration-roadmap.html













#### Chapter 10 Contributors

**HETEROGENEOUS INTEGRATION ROADMAP** 



**Co-Chairs** 



**Doug Hopkins** North Carolina State University DCHopkins@ncsu.edu



Patrick McClusky University of Maryland mcclupa@umd.edu



**Thomas Becker Thobecore Consulting** & Research dr.thomas.becker@ou tlook.de



Francesco Carobolante IoTissimo francesco@ iotissimo.com



**Tzu Hsuan Cheng** North Carolina State University tcheng8@ncsu.edu





**Bob Conner** Daniela lacopino X-Celeprint Tyndall National bconner@finwavese Institute mi.com daniela.iacopino@t yndall.ie



**Maeve Duffy** National University of Ireland, Galway maeve.duffy@nuigal way.ie



**Ray Fillion** Fillion Consulting fillion.consulting@ gmail.com



**Michael Hayes Tyndall National** Institute michael.hayes@ tyndall.ie



Cian Ó Mathúna Tyndall National Institute cian.omathuna@ tyndall.ie



PM Raj Florida International University mpulugur@ fiu.edu



**Brian Zahnstecher PowerRox** bz@ powerrox.com















### **Graphic Description of Chapter Contents**





Electronics (IPE), 2021















### Addition for 2024: expanded Section IV – Energy Harvesting



- Content:
- Introduction
- Energy Harvesting (EH) methods and integration challenges
- Heterogeneous Integration of Storage for Energy Harvesting
- Heterogeneous Integration of Electronics









Slide 4



### Introduction..... The Power IoT Challenge

- We already have many billions of sensors in the world, expecting to reach 1 trillion in the next few years
- >50% of these will be wireless IoT edge devices -> Cost effective, easy to retrofit & monitor on, in or near existing equipment, environment, infrastructure, people

#### BUT

- Typical battery life is less than 2 years Vs
- Most IoT devices need > 10 years.
- -> Multiple battery replacements.
- -> Device downtime and maintenance
- -> Major environmental issues
- UNLESS WE IMPROVE, by 2025 we will manufacture and dispose of >130M batteries every single day just from IoT usage!























### The Power IoT Challenge













org/document/9800843). Further evidence of the strategic impor tance of energy harvesting can be found in the EU ECS-SRIA (https://ecssria.eu/) & IEEE HIR (https://eps.ieee.org/technology/



#### TECHNOLOGY

#### Packaging Power Sources for WSN IoT Edge Devices

ireless Sensor Network (WSN) IoT edge devices bring heterogeneous-integration-roadmap.html) & IRDS roadmaps (https:// benefits to industry and society, helping us monitor the irds.ieee.org/), and PSMA's white paper https://www.psma.com/ erformance, location and 'well-being' of machines, basiccontentitems/psma-white-paper-energy-harvesting-green factories (energy and resource efficiency, agility), vehicles, renew--internet-things able energy systems and even people

They help make the world a better con nected, informed, safe, energy efficient & secure place. However big challenges exist on how to power them reliably minimising maintenance and downtime ideally with the battery outliving the application needs. A typical WSN node attery lifetime is currently 12-18 months for most applications versus a need of at least 5-10 years. This is perhaps the biggest impediment to the explosive growth of IoT devices. Longer battery life requires a strategy of finding ways of minimising device power consumption whilst using ambient energies where available (heat, vibrations, light) as an additional 'energy harvesting' (EH) source of power. Such systems are complex, requiring careful design and integration from material all the way to system integration. In particular, EH needs to be seen as much more than an electronics discipline but as a collaborative multidisciplinary ecosystem, especially at the early conceptual stages (ref. Fig. 1). The EU EnABLES project position paper (https://www.enables-project.eu/outputs position-paper/) describes this need and value proposition. Related to power elec tronics packaging, this includes MEMS & NEMS integration of materials and

devices as well as packaging of the overall power source that replaces the battery. This extends further to the WSN node incorporating sensors, MCU (microcontroller), transceiver, interconnect, housing, etc. Without the assistance of such enabling technologies the potential of EH and related technologies cannot be unleashed.

Energy harvesting has been featured in PSMA's (Power Sources Manufacturing Association) power technology road-



Smart glasse Figure 2. Some Wearable concepts life problem (https://ieeexplore.ieee.





map (PTR) since 2017 and is expected to evolve from being an emerging tech nology to gaining widespread adop-

tion, particularly to address the battery





Figure 1. The Power IoT ecosystem (source EnABLES position paper

#### Some wearable concepts

### EH methods and integration challenges - I





Smart wearables



Smart patch



Wearable TEG: KAIST, 40mW @ wristband size







Implantable energy harvesting device to power pacemaker (Tyndall & EU Manpower project)













### EH methods and integration challenges - II





Sensor Node

Sensors + Interface

Microcontroller

Intelligent switching between ambient energies + battery + storage devices \*Power Management IC













Replacing the *function of a battery* requires integration of a complex array of Technologies

#### TRL Courtesy Andy Mackie 10-Si-Based Electrodynamic Liquid Thermoelectric Electrolyte Far Field Si-Based Solid State CIGS Vibration Electrolyte Fuel CIGS Cell Organic Vibration Liquid Electrolyte 5-Organic SS Electrolyte Far Field Thermo electric Triboelectric Triboelectric Bio-battery Perovskite Bio-batter Solar - PV Thermal **Energy Storage Radio Frequency** Kinetic 0-**Rigid Applications** Area = f Energy Harvesting (EH) Class TRL = Technology Readiness Level (technology Flex/hybrid Application proliferation) ECTRONICS

Many of the technologies at lower TRL – work required to package at device as well as system level

EH methods and integration challenges - III

















### EH methods and integration challenges - III









#### Examples of integration considerations

#### **TEGs:- (ThermoElectric Generators)**

Heat conduction, thermal insulation and heat storage capabilities need to be integrated into packaging to minimise losses

**PV:-** Material must be resilient to ingress and adsorption Surface may be non-uniform or need to be flexible













### Heterogeneous Integration of Storage for EH - I



MEMS technologies developed for miniaturised batteries suitable for integration. Due to safety concerns with liquid electrolytes, technologies suitable for integration of solid-state micro-batteries are

emerging, esp. for flex applications.



(a) substrate release, (b)  $PVD^*$ , (c) 30  $\mu m$  LIB (Lithium Ion Battery) produced by substrate thinning

\* Physical Vapour Deposition









(d) silicon compatible electrode build up



(e) gravure printed super capacitor







### Heterogeneous Integration of Storage for EH - II



- Integration of PV and battery cells opens the possibility of reducing or eliminating power conversion stages required.
- Roll-to-roll manufacturing has facilitated integration of piezo sources with supercaps and some power conversion circuitry.
- High-Power solid state SMD micro-batteries create a new path to integrate energy storage elements within advanced packaging solutions and In-Mold electronics.
- 300μm micro-batteries can be integrated / stacked along with other electronic devices, weldable up to 260°C without risk of chemical and electrochemical degradation



Revibe D cell shaped kinetic energy harvester











CSEM flexible PV cell









HIR

HETEROGENEOUS INTEGRATION ROADMAP

### Heterogeneous Integration of Electronics

- (Multiple) Sensor interfaces
- EH (RF, Light, Motion, Chemical, etc.)
- MCU
- Memory
- Power Management
- RF/Communication
- Antenna

### **Enabling technologies**

- HETEROGENEOUS INTEGRATION ROADMAP
- Printed Electronics (screen printing, flexography, gravure, offset lithography, and inkjet)
- Transfer & Micro Transfer Printing (MTP)



MTP using a custom designed transfer stamp. Courtesy Fillion Consulting















# Miniaturization and integration: adopting appropriate platforms for HVM (High Volume Manufacturing)







## Integrated Power Electronics Technical Working Group

### **Many Thanks For Your Attention**

*If interested in joining our IPE-TWG please contact any of our members.* 













### **Other Considerations**



- Sustainability challenge: need to consider FULL life-cycle footprint of WSN (wireless sensor node) devices (including disposal). The Sustainable IoT group in CONNECT is developing "green" sensors, supercaps and antennae: Biodegradable WSN, using abundant and renewable materials, with low environmental footprint fabrication methods.
- Flexible electronics have major processing limitations (temperatures and processing chemicals)
- Printed Conductive inks have ~ x2-3 lower conductivity than semiconductor or circuit board
- Flexible Organic photovoltaics (OPV) have relatively lower light conversion efficiencies but have lower cost and environmental impact in manufacturing.
- Many Condition-based monitoring applications need Flexible electronics with EH techniques esp. if there are difficulties in accessing equipment/infrastructure.
- Flexible & printed electronics important for healthcare industry, ideal for interacting with the human body.
  - e.g. help overcome the restrictions of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) on delicate patients











